



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,954	07/07/2003	Christine Lee	95-535	6609
20736	7590	03/21/2006	EXAMINER	
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER 2000 M STREET NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307			HAROON, ADEEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to Amendment filed on date: 2/2/06.

Claims 1-7 are still pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 2/2/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the examiner based his rejection on a piecemeal analysis of the reference, Kopmeiners et al. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Kopmeiners et al.'s process is an iterative process as cited in Column 5, lines 27-36 and as shown in steps 250 and 265 showing a loop back to step 205 in figure 2B. Therefore, the applicant's argument that the examiner used a piecemeal analysis of the reference is ineffective since the parts of the gain control technique are conducted in a loop fashion thus the order of the steps is not linear.

The applicant next argues that Kopmeiners et al. does not disclose the limitation of "setting the gain to a minimum gain value", and actually calls the examiner's assertion absurd. The examiner respectfully disagrees. A careful analysis of Kopmeiners et al. reveals this limitation. As cited in the previous action, Kopmeiners et al. discloses that if

Art Unit: 2685

the first power value exceeds the prescribed input range, setting the gain to a minimum value by decrementing the gain value so it does not exceed the prescribed input range and then determining the optimum gain for the received signal based on this minimum gain value (Column 5, lines 16-17 and Column 2, lines 57-65). In Kopmeiners et al.'s system, when the power value is out of the input range, it has two possibilities of either incrementing or decrementing the gain by 20 dB depending on if the power was below or above the input range respectively resulting in two possible gain settings of -20 dB and 20dB. So by decrementing the gain value, Kopmeiners et al. is setting the gain value to -20dB, which is clearly the minimum gain value of its system. Therefore, the examiners assertion that decrementing the gain reads on the claimed "setting the gain to a minimum" is not absurd but actually a rather reasonable and logical interpretation.

Further, this step of setting the gain value to a minimum value is a single step as portrayed by step 215 in figure 2a.

The applicant also argues that Kopmeiners et al.'s checking to see if the power exceeds the prescribed input value range is not based on the initial gain value. The examiner again points to iterative nature of Kopmeiners et al.'s system. As shown in step 260, a gain is set, which is the initial gain value in this loop. Then, with an answer of yes in step 265 the process is looped back to step 205 where it checks to see if the power exceeds the prescribed input value range. Therefore, Kopmeiners et al.'s checking to see if the power exceeds the prescribed input value range is based on the initial gain value.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kopmeiners et al. (U.S. 5,917,865).

With respect to claim 1, Kopmeiners et al. discloses a method in a wireless transceiver in figures 2a and 2b. Kopmeiners et al. discloses setting a gain to an initial gain value for mapping a received wireless signal to a first power value to an input circuit, element number 120, having a prescribed input range and amplifying with element number 110 the signal with the initial gain value to the first power value (Column 5, lines 8-13). Kopmeiners et al. also disclose determining if the power of the signal does not exceed the prescribed input range, then determining an optimum gain for the received wireless signal relative to the initial gain and power values (Column 5, lines 19-24). Kopmeiners et al. also discloses that if the first power value exceeds the prescribed input range, setting the gain to a minimum value by decrementing the gain value so it does not exceed the prescribed input range and then determining the optimum gain for the received signal based on this minimum gain value (Column 5, lines

16-17 and Column 2, lines 57-65). Kopmeiners et al. further discloses outputting the received wireless signal at the optimum gain (Column 5, lines 19-24).

With respect to claim 4, Kopmeiners et al. discloses a wireless transceiver including an input circuit, element number 120, having a prescribed input range (Column 4, lines 26-30). Kopmeiners et al. also discloses a digital gain controller, element number 130, for amplifying a received wireless signal to an optimum gain value (Column 2, lines 45-56). Kopmeiners et al. discloses setting a gain to an initial gain value for mapping a received wireless signal to a first power value to an input circuit, element number 120, having a prescribed input range and amplifying with element number 110 the signal with the initial gain value to the first power value (Column 5, lines 8-13). Kopmeiners et al. also disclose determining if the power of the signal does not exceed the prescribed input range, then determining an optimum gain for the received wireless signal relative to the initial gain and power values (Column 5, lines 19-24). Kopmeiners et al. also discloses that if the first power value exceeds the prescribed input range, setting the gain to a minimum value by decrementing the gain value so it does not exceed the prescribed input range and then determining the optimum gain for the received signal based on this minimum gain value (Column 5, lines 16-17 and Column 2, lines 57-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kopmeiners et al. (U.S. 5,917,865) in view of Wheatley, III (U.S. 5,732,341).

With respect to claims 2 and 5, the method of Kopmeiners et al. is described above in the discussion of claims 1 and 4. Kopmeiners et al. further discloses setting the initial gain value based on the dynamic range of the wireless transceiver (Column 2, lines 45-51). Kopmeiners et al. does not expressly disclose setting the gain based on a prescribed signal to noise ratio. However, Wheatley, III teaches using prescribed signal to noise ratio as the basis for setting the gain of transceiver (Column 6, lines 12-20). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention, to apply Wheatley, III's technique of using signal to noise ratio in Kopmeiners et al.'s method in order to have a quality factor for the basis of the gain setting thus removing unwanted noise from the transceiver.

With respect to claims 3 and 6, Kopmeiners et al. further discloses an analog front-end amplifier, element number 110, which inherently has a maximum analog gain (column 4, lines 10-11).

With respect to claim 7, since an OFDM receiver configured for IEEE 802.11a protocol are extremely well known in the art, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the modified wireless transceiver of Kopmeiners et al. and Wheatley, III as an OFDM receiver in order to be compatible with IEEE 802.11a protocol.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adeel Haroon whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 2685

7405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on (571) 272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AH
3/17/06

Nguyen Vo
3-19-2006

NGUYENT.VO
PRIMARY EXAMINER